From: Jim Campbell
To: candyce.phoenix@usdoj.gov; corey.stoughton@usdoj.gov; Dwayne.Bensing@usdoj.gov; lori.kisch@usdoj.gov; ripley.rand@usdoj.gov; sean.r.keveney@usdoj.gov; Torey.Cummings@usdoj.gov; Whitney.Pellegrino@usdoj.gov; Taryn.Null@usdoj.gov; butch@butchbowers.com; Stephens, Bob; bstewart@mgsattorneys.com; tbrooks@mgsattorneys.com; fgordon@mgsattorneys.com; rdriscoll@mcglinchey.com; amajmundar@ncdoj.gov; ovysotskaya@ncdoj.gov; ccpratt@northcarolina.edu; gdnager@jonesday.com; jmburnham@jonesday.com; njfrancisco@jonesday.com; Kyle Duncan; Gene Schaerr; Robert Potter
Cc: Ken Connelly; Caleb Dalton
Subject: RE: United States v. North Carolina: Position on Motion to Intervene
Date: Wednesday, July 6, 2016 3:56:45 PM
Attachments: image001.png
logo8a1293

Counsel,

As you know, last week (on June 28), North Carolinians for Privacy (NCFP) filed a motion to intervene in the above-mentioned case. Subsequently, the plaintiff filed a motion for preliminary injunction, and NCFP's corresponding lawsuit in the Eastern District of North Carolina was transferred to the Middle District and is now pending before Judge Schroeder. Consequently, the urgency for a ruling on NCFP's motion to intervene has increased because its members' interests are directly jeopardized by the pending motion for preliminary injunction and because many of the same issues that its case raises will be addressed in the pending motion for preliminary injunction. Absent NCFP's prompt intervention in *United States v. North Carolina* and an opportunity to respond to the plaintiff's motion for preliminary injunction, NCFP will likely be forced to take steps to expedite its case before Judge Schroeder. If, however, NCFP is allowed to participate in the pending proceedings, it will likely not need to take those steps.

I am writing today for two reasons.

The first is to ask the plaintiff if it is willing to consent to NCFP's motion to intervene given the changed circumstances. Doing that will streamline the related litigation pending before Judge Schroeder and likely alleviate the need for NCFP to pursue steps to expedite its case.

The second reason is to inform all the parties of NCFP's intent to file a motion to expedite the responses to its motion to intervene and to seek all parties' positions on that motion to expedite. NCFP intends to ask the court to schedule the deadline for responses to its motion to intervene on Tuesday July 12. Please let us know your position on that request. NCFP intends to file the motion to expedite tomorrow afternoon, so if possible, please respond with your position on that motion by Thursday at 2:00 pm.

Best regards,
Jim Campbell

Jim Campbell
Senior Counsel, Director of Center for Marriage and Family
480-444-0020 (Office)
480-388-8146 (Direct Dial)
480-444-0028 (Fax)

jcampbell@ADFlegal.org
ADFlegal.org

From: Caleb Dalton [mailto:CDalton@adflegal.org]
Sent: Monday, June 27, 2016 5:39 PM
To: candyce.phoenix@usdoj.gov; corey.stoughton@usdoj.gov; Dwayne.Bensing@usdoj.gov; lori.kisch@usdoj.gov; ripley.rand@usdoj.gov; sean.r.keveney@usdoj.gov; Torey.Cummings@usdoj.gov; Whitney.Pellegrino@usdoj.gov; Taryn.Null@usdoj.gov; butch@butchbowers.com; Stephens, Bob <bob.stephens@nc.gov>; bstewart@mgsattorneys.com; tbrooks@mgsattorneys.com; fgordon@mgsattorneys.com; rdriscoll@mcglinchey.com; amajmundar@ncdoj.gov; ovysotskaya@ncdoj.gov; ccpratt@northcarolina.edu; gdnager@jonesday.com; jmburnham@jonesday.com; njfrancisco@jonesday.com
Cc: Jim Campbell <jcampbell@adflegal.org>; Joseph E. La Rue <jlarue@adflegal.org>
Subject: United States v. North Carolina: Position on Motion to Intervene

Dear Counsel:

North Carolinians for Privacy intends to move to intervene in *United States of America v. North Carolina* (M.D.N.C. No. 1:16-cv-00425) to protect its and its members interests. *See North Carolinians for Privacy v. United State Dep't of Justice*, No. 5:16-cv-245 (E.D.N.C. May 10, 2016).

Your response by close of business tomorrow (June 28) with your clients' position on the motion is appreciated.

Best Regards,
Caleb

Caleb Dalton
Legal Counsel
480-444-0020 (Office)
480-388-8016 (Direct Dial)
480-444-0028 (Fax)
CDalton@ADFlegal.org
ADFlegal.org
Not Licensed in DC
Practice Limited to Federal Court

This e-mail message from Alliance Defending Freedom and any accompanying documents or embedded messages is intended for the named recipients only. Because Alliance Defending Freedom is a legal entity engaged in the practice of law, this communication contains information, which may include metadata, that is confidential, privileged, attorney work product, or otherwise protected from disclosure under applicable law. If you have received this message in error, are not a named recipient, or are not the employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to a named recipient, be advised that any review, disclosure, use, dissemination, distribution, or reproduction of this message or its contents is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please immediately notify the sender and permanently delete the message.
PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION/ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT.

Email correspondence to and from this address may be subject to the North Carolina Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties by an authorized state official.